

MASS MEETING

OF

THE CITIZENS OF NEW YORK,

(WITHOUT DISTINCTION OF PARTY,)

AT THE

COOPER INSTITUTE, NEW YORK, MARCH 6, 1862.

HON. JAMES A. HAMILTON IN THE CHAIR.

THE great meeting at the Cooper Institute, on the 6th of March, 1862, as well for its numbers as for its intelligence and spirit, must be regarded as a significant fact in the history of the great revolution at present going on in the popular heart of the country. In the first place, it represented no particular political party or interest. On the contrary, to the politicians it was from the first a stumbling-block; while to the whole brood of thinly-disguised abettors of the great treason, it was a huge rock of offence—their organs of the Satanic press greeting it with such vociferous hatred and misrepresentations as was natural to their malign instincts. Nor had the support of any of the hitherto acknowledged leaders of popular movements in the metropolis, or the aid of any of the means and appliances usually put into operation to bring together large assemblages of its populace.

In simple truth it was a great spontaneous uprising of the people in vindication of the fundamental ideas and principles in which are founded our Democratic Institutions, National Unity, and civilization. The spirit and sacred memories of those other "days that tried men's souls," were present in it. The trusted friend and confidant of WASHINGTON, the man to whom we owe preëminently our National Constitution, ALEXANDER HAMILTON, was represented there in the person of his son, JAMES A. HAMILTON, its president. And in the person of CHARLES KING, one of its vice-presidents, was represented another imperishable revolutionary name. Nor should it be forgotten, that to his father, RUFUS KING, Senator from the State of New York in the first Congress of the United States, perhaps more than to any other man, is due that great ordinance of liberty which gave to the Union the free States of the Northwest.

Of the living present, there were represented in that great assembly all classes, avocations, and conditions of men, and all shades of opinion—earnest, determined, and unanimous only as to the one *all-important matter*, viz.: That inasmuch as slavery is treason to the fundamental idea of our national existence, as set forth by the founders, and in its very nature an internecine war against our democratic Institutions, it becomes our supremest duty, as citizens and patriots, never to surrender it or cease our conflict against it until it shall be extirpated from the whole land.

This was the idea that animated that assembly. Its object was to announce this conviction of the great popular heart of the free States, and the determination of the democratic people of these States, that neither by *force of arms*, nor by the *nefarious devices* of politicians, should the slave barons of the South ever again be masters in the capital of the nation; and thus to give to the National Government the support of the popular sympathy and will, in carrying forward its great purpose of destroying the acknowledged cause of all our present disasters, and of re-establishing, "UNDER THE AUSPICES OF LIBERTY," the peace, unity, and prosperity of the nation.

With the hopes inspired by the President's Emancipation Message, which bears date on the day of this meeting, and renders that day ever memorable, and the reading of which amidst its pandits, was the last act in its proceedings, the great assemblage dispersed. But let no man

believe, that therefore every day and every Democratic League of the sons of liberty, will cease until the rights of human nature shall

At the appointed hour JAMES MCKAYE came to-night a son of one of the most distinguished founders of our National Government and free Democratic Institutions—a man whose heart is as earnestly devoted to liberty and the maintenance of the rights of human nature, as was that of his father. I propose, as President of this meeting, the Hon. JAMES A. HAMILTON."

The nomination was greeted with a unanimous confirmation, amid great applause. The following-named gentlemen were then duly elected Vice-Presidents and Secretaries:—Charles King, LL. D., Frederick Kapp, S. H. Tyng, D. D., Isaac Sherman, George Reininger, William Hague, D. D., Prof. Francis Lieber, David Dudley Field, Dr. J. A. Försch, Lewis Tappan, Dr. Henry A. Hartt, Erastus C. Benedict, Andreas Willman, William Cullen Bryant, Prosper M. Wetmore, Adon Smith, A. Walthur, E. H. Chapin, D. D., George Folsom, Rev. Peter Stryker, Rufus F. Andrews, Sigismund Kaufmann, Rev. O. B. Frothingham, Dr. Rudolph Dulon, William Curtis Noyes, George P. Putnam, Edgar Ketchum, Duncan Dunbar, Horace Webster, LL. D., Dr. Charles Kessinan, Theodore Bracklow, George B. Cheever, D. D., Dr. Henry Burgman, John W. Edmonds, Joseph P. Thompson, D. D., Rev. A. Cookman.

SECRETARIES.—Charles A. Dana, T. G. Glaubenslee, Samuel B. Barlow, Dr. James B. Richards, Ethan Allen, Hon. Henry B. Stanton, Edward Vorster, A. J. H. Duganue, Dr. W. M. Wermerskirch, George Kupper.

The following Address was then delivered by the President:

FELLOW-CITIZENS: The honor of presiding at this thronged meeting of those who represent the intelligence, the wealth, the enterprise, the mechanical skill and labor of this great city, excites my sensibility, from the conviction that your choice has been induced not by considerations personal to myself, but from respect to the memory of him whose name I bear. [*Cheers.*] We are assembled here to express to the President and the Congress of the United States our views of slavery, its influence upon our national character and the destiny of our country, and to advise the adoption of such measures as will give us permanent peace, and thus secure the future from the dangers and calamities of the present. Let the voice of the loyal men of this great metropolis be given out in no ambiguous terms; let it be the utterance of earnest men, impressed with the magnitude of the consequences involved. Let us, under the hallowed influence of patriotism—of a sense of our duty to the oppressed of this nation—treat this great subject so decisively as that the echo of your voice may come up from the loyal people in all parts of the nation, in tones which cannot be mistaken or disregarded by their Representatives. [*Cheers.*] With your permission, I will briefly express my opinions of the duties of the people, the powers and duties of the Government—in regard to slavery: The great principle on which our "Representative Democracy" is founded is "The Freedom of Man." [*Applause.*] In obedience to this great principle, it is your duty to express your earnest conviction that slavery is not only a great crime, but also a great social and political evil; [*Cheers.*] that it is the direct and immediate cause of the calamities which so sorely afflict the whole

and does not add to the membership of that great afflict with slavery and its millions will never be completely vindicated in this land.

I now propose to order, and said: "We have here

the meeting to order, and said: "We have here

founders of our National Government and free Democratic Institutions—a man whose heart is as earnestly devoted to liberty and the maintenance of the rights of human nature, as was that of his father. I propose, as President of this meeting, the Hon. JAMES A. HAMILTON."

The nomination was greeted with a unanimous confirmation, amid great applause. The following-named gentlemen were then duly elected Vice-Presidents and Secretaries:—Charles King, LL. D., Frederick Kapp, S. H. Tyng, D. D., Isaac Sherman, George Reininger, William Hague, D. D., Prof. Francis Lieber, David Dudley Field, Dr. J. A. Försch, Lewis Tappan, Dr. Henry A. Hartt, Erastus C. Benedict, Andreas Willman, William Cullen Bryant, Prosper M. Wetmore, Adon Smith, A. Walthur, E. H. Chapin, D. D., George Folsom, Rev. Peter Stryker, Rufus F. Andrews, Sigismund Kaufmann, Rev. O. B. Frothingham, Dr. Rudolph Dulon, William Curtis Noyes, George P. Putnam, Edgar Ketchum, Duncan Dunbar, Horace Webster, LL. D., Dr. Charles Kessinan, Theodore Bracklow, George B. Cheever, D. D., Dr. Henry Burgman, John W. Edmonds, Joseph P. Thompson, D. D., Rev. A. Cookman.

SECRETARIES.—Charles A. Dana, T. G. Glaubenslee, Samuel B. Barlow, Dr. James B. Richards, Ethan Allen, Hon. Henry B. Stanton, Edward Vorster, A. J. H. Duganue, Dr. W. M. Wermerskirch, George Kupper.

The following Address was then delivered by the President:

FELLOW-CITIZENS: The honor of presiding at this thronged meeting of those who represent the intelligence, the wealth, the enterprise, the mechanical skill and labor of this great city, excites my sensibility, from the conviction that your choice has been induced not by considerations personal to myself, but from respect to the memory of him whose name I bear. [*Cheers.*] We are assembled here to express to the President and the Congress of the United States our views of slavery, its influence upon our national character and the destiny of our country, and to advise the adoption of such measures as will give us permanent peace, and thus secure the future from the dangers and calamities of the present. Let the voice of the loyal men of this great metropolis be given out in no ambiguous terms; let it be the utterance of earnest men, impressed with the magnitude of the consequences involved. Let us, under the hallowed influence of patriotism—of a sense of our duty to the oppressed of this nation—treat this great subject so decisively as that the echo of your voice may come up from the loyal people in all parts of the nation, in tones which cannot be mistaken or disregarded by their Representatives. [*Cheers.*] With your permission, I will briefly express my opinions of the duties of the people, the powers and duties of the Government—in regard to slavery: The great principle on which our "Representative Democracy" is founded is "The Freedom of Man." [*Applause.*] In obedience to this great principle, it is your duty to express your earnest conviction that slavery is not only a great crime, but also a great social and political evil; [*Cheers.*] that it is the direct and immediate cause of the calamities which so sorely afflict the whole country; and, above all, to express your fixed determination that the course and policy of your Government shall hereafter be to develop the great principle of human freedom, and not, as it has hitherto been, to extend and fortify slavery. [*Applause.*] We are told the Government has no power to destroy slavery, because the right of one man to hold his fellow-man in perpetual and degrading bondage is established by State laws. I answer, such laws cannot rightfully exist either under the Government of the United States or of the States. Man was created in the express image of his Maker—a responsible being, having an immortal soul. No power less than that which created him, less than omnipotent, can reduce him from his condition of a man to that of a brute—a chattel [*Cheering.*]

Has the Government the power to destroy slavery? We are engaged in a war which involves the life or death of the nation. A blow in behalf of slavery has been struck at the national existence. Every Government, whatever may be its Constitution, is necessarily armed with all the powers required to preserve its life. In the exercise of those powers it has the right, and it is its duty, to destroy property institutions, laws of State, and the lives of those who are, or may be, employed for its destruction, or which may expose the nation to a death struggle at a future period. [*Applause*]

These are the duties not to be sought for in Constitutions or laws. They are given by the Government at great cost. The President swears by his oath of office, to protect and defend the Constitution."

serve he is required to devote to this first great duty all his ability. He is not limited in doing so to the means committed to him by the Constitution or the laws. From these considerations it is indisputable that slavery, whether sanctioned or not by State laws, now eminently endangers the national life, or threatens to do so, and therefore may be destroyed by the Government of the United States. As to the question whether, in the exercise of this power, can the Government disregard its own obligations, or the rights of persons? [*Applause.*]

In answer, I will refer to an authority which is well entitled to your respect. One of "the fathers," (Alexander Hamilton,) in discussing the question whether a nation may in certain extraordinary cases be excusable for not observing a right in the performance of a duty, says:

"A nation is excusable in certain extraordinary cases for not observing a right in performing a duty, if the one or the other would involve a manifest and grave national calamity. But here also an extreme case is intended. The calamity to be averted must not only be evident and considerable, it must be such as is likely to prove fatal to the nation, as threatens its existence or at least its permanent welfare."

Of these second class of exceptions, (those which threaten the permanent welfare of the nation,)

"The case of certain feudal rights which once oppressed all Europe, and still oppresses too great a portion of it, may serve as an example; rights which made absolute slaves of a part of the community, and render the condition of the remainder not much more eligible."

"These rights, though involving that of property, being contrary to the social order and to the permanent welfare of society, were justifiably abolished in the instances in which Abolitions have taken place, and may be abolished in all the remaining vestiges." [*Cheering.*]

"Whenever, indeed, a right of property is infringed for the general good, if the nature of the case admits of compensation, it ought to be made, but if compensation be impracticable, that impracticability ought not to be an obstacle to a clearly essential reform." [*Applause.*]

Fellow-citizens: The people of the loyal States have with unequalled patriotism devoted their lives to the service of the country. The Government, through its various departments, has formed an army and a navy of vast proportions and the most efficient character, with a promptitude and skill most honorable to them. Now, let the people require that this accumulated power shall be used not only to crush out armed rebellion but its malignant cause. [*Tremendous and long-continued cheering.*] Your military and naval forces with rapid blows are destroying the military power of your enemy; but unless the last blow which is struck strikes off the fetters of the slaves, the work of restoring the Constitution and the Union will be mockery. [*Great applause.*]

The following from among the numerous letters received by the Committee from distinguished men of various shades of politics, were read by Edgar Ketchum, Esq.:

FROM SENATOR PRESTON KING.

WASHINGTON, March 5, 1862.

DEAR SIR: Your invitation to attend a meeting of citizens of New York who rejoice in the downfall of treason, and who are in favor of sustaining the National Government in the most energetic exercise of all the rights and powers of war in the prosecution of its purpose to destroy the cause of treason, and to express their views as to the measures proper to be adopted in the existing exigencies, to be held at the Cooper Institute on the evening of March 6, is received.

Slavery and the influence it has exerted over the minds of so many of the people among whom it has existed, is the fountain of the treason against our republican institutions, and the cause of the extended insurrection that has subverted the constitutional Governments of so many States, and that is now waging war against the existence and unity of the Government of the United States.

Permanent security to the existence of republican government and to the peace of the country requires that the cause of the treason, as well as the treason itself shall be overcome and extinguished, or placed once under such control of law as will produce its extinction, and thus make certain that its power and influence to disturb the public peace can never be renewed. The whole power of the Government should be put forth, with prompt and persistent energy, to overcome by military force and capture or disperse the armed organizations of the insurgents, and to seize the persons of the ringleaders, that the penalty for treason may be inflicted upon them.

Every citizen who desires the perpetuity of republican government should give his hearty support to the Government in accomplishing these objects. I should be glad to be present at the meeting at the Cooper Institute, but public engagements here prevent me. Please to accept my thanks for your invitation.

Very respectfully, PRESTON KING.

MR. JAMES MCKAYE,
Chairman Committee, &c.

FROM SENATOR CHARLES SUMNER.

SENATE CHAMBER, March 5, 1862.

DEAR SIR: Never, except when disabled by ill health, have I allowed myself to be absent from my seat in the Senate for a single day; and now, amid the extraordinary duties of the present session, I am more than ever disposed to adhere to this inflexible rule. If any thing could tempt me to depart from it, I should find an apology in the invitation with which you have honored me.

The meeting which has been called under such distinguished auspices is needed at this

moment to rally the country to those true principles by which alone this great rebellion can be permanently suppressed. I should be truly happy to take part in it, and try to impart to others something of the strength of my own convictions.

It is only necessary that people should see things as they are, and they will easily see how to deal with them. This is the obvious condition of practical action. Now, beyond all question, slavery is the great transcendent malefactor and omnipresent traitor—more deadly to the Union than all the leaders, civil or military, of the rebellion. Of course, therefore, if you are in earnest against the rebellion, you will not spare slavery. And happily the way is plain—so that it cannot be mistaken.

Look now throughout the whole rebel territory, and you will not find a single officer legally qualified to discharge any of the functions of Government. By the Constitution of the United States, "members of the several State Legislatures and all executive and judicial officers, both of the United States and the several States, shall be bound by oath or affirmation to support this Constitution." But these functionaries have all renounced their allegiance to the United States, and taken a new oath to support the rebel Government, so that at this moment they cannot be recognized as constitutionally empowered to act. But a State is known only through its functionaries, constitutionally empowered to act, and since these have ceased to exist, the State with its unnatural institutions has ceased to exist, or it exists only in the dead parchments by which its government was originally established. The action of these functionaries was impotent to transfer its territory to a pretended confederation. To destroy the State was all that they could do.

In the absence of any constitutional authority in this territory, Congress must assume all necessary jurisdiction. Not to do so will be an abandonment of urgent duty. There are some who propose a temporary military government; others propose a temporary provisional government, with limited powers. All these concede to Congress jurisdiction over the territory; nor can this jurisdiction be justly questioned. But it seems to me clearly best that, on this important occasion, we shall follow the authoritative precedents of our history, and proceed as Congress has been accustomed to proceed in the organization and government of other territories. This will be simple. And as to slavery, if there be any doubt that it died constitutionally and legally with the State from which it drew his wicked breath, it might be prohibited by the enactment of that same Jeffersonian ordinance which originally established freedom throughout the great Northwest.

Accept my thanks for the honor you have done me, and believe me, dear sir,

Faithfully yours, CHARLES SUMNER.

JAMES MCKAYE, Esq.,
Chairman &c., No. 82 Broadway.

FROM SENATOR HENRY WILSON.

A letter from Senator Wilson concludes as follows:

* * * "Humanity, justice, and patriotism all demand that the American people should never pardon the *great criminal* that has raised the banner of revolt against the unity and authority of the Republic. The blood of our fallen sons demands that the Government for which they gave their lives should walk up to the verge of constitutional power in inflicting condign punishment upon their murderer. The nation, imperilled by slavery, should use every legal and constitutional power to put it in process of ultimate extinction. To that end I would at once abolish slavery in the District of Columbia, repeal the *black code* that dishonors the national capital, tender to the loyal slaveholding States the treasures of the Federal Government to aid them in the work of emancipation, deal justly and liberally with the loyal men of the rebel States, but free the bondmen of rebels. With much respect,

"I am your obedient servant,

"HENRY WILSON.

"To J. MCKAYE, Esq.,
Chairman of Committee of Arrangements."

FROM SENATOR DAVID WILMOT.

Mr. Wilmot writes:

* * * "God, in his providence, has placed slavery within the rightful power of the nation. We must not tremble and hesitate because of the magnitude of the labors and duties cast upon us; we must meet and discharge our duties as men in whose hands is placed the ark of human happiness and hopes. We must and will, if true to God, our country, and the race of mankind, now and forever destroy and wipe out from this nation the accursed institution of human slavery.

"The slaveholder, by his treason and rebellion against the Constitution, and by the war he has forced upon the Government for self-preservation, has wholly absolved us from all constitutional and political obligations to treat his unnatural claim of property in man with any toleration whatever. When the traitor is forced by arms from his purpose to destroy the Constitution and Government, he cannot, the moment he is defeated in his wicked purpose, plead the Constitution he made war to overthrow as the shield and protection for his forfeited rights of slavery. It is the right and duty of the nation to protect itself, now and in the future. We must make sure against another rebellion, greater than the one now upon us. The national life must be preserved, by applying the knife to the cancer that is eating the very substance and life of the nation. The nation must make a proclamation of freedom to the slaves of every traitor; and as a matter of policy, not of strict right, provide for making compensation to loyal slaveholders for the temporary loss incident to the speedy emancipation of their slaves. Less than this we cannot

to with honor or safety. We have a right to do more. We have a right, instantly and at once, to uproot and eradicate forever any local institution, law, custom, usage that puts in imminent peril the national life. We have a right to kill slavery, that the nation may live.

"Very respectfully, your obedient servant,
"D. WILMOT."

FROM EX-GOVERNOR BOUTWELL, OF MASS.
BOSTON, March 6, 1862.

* * * I HAVE sought in vain to arrange my professional engagements so as to be present at your meeting. I am consoled in the disappointment, which is greater to me than it can be to you, by the reflection that my voice will not be needed in your meeting, and that my presence could add nothing to the importance of the occasion.

Can any thing be more essential to the prosperity of New York than the re-establishment of the Government upon a firm basis? Would it not be a crime against humanity, if every interest of the country except slavery should suffer by the war, while slavery, the cause of all our trials, should escape unhurt? Let the merchants of New York bear in mind the fact that the smallest free State is more important to every substantial interest of the country than any slave State can ever be.

I am, very truly, your obedient servant,
GEO. S. BOUTWELL.

FROM WENDELL PHILLIPS.

* * * * * I regard this war as only one scene in the struggle between slaveholders and the people, which has already lasted seventy years, and will endure till one or the other be destroyed. Determined myself, as one of the people, never to yield, I see no end of the war but in abolishing slaveholders. As the rebellion has given our Government the full constitutional right to do so, and the people have furnished the means, I shall consider the Government as unspeakably weak and wicked if it does not use that right and power at once.

Respectfully yours,

WENDELL PHILLIPS.

March 4, 1862.

FROM COL. C. H. VAN WYCK, M. C.

WASHINGTON, March 4, 1862.

DEAR SIR: Accept my thanks for your kind invitation to be present at the meeting at Cooper Institute, March 6th. Other duties will probably prevent my attendance. The life of this rebellion, the chiefs in this conspiracy, are the very men who for years have been threatening the overthrow of the Republic if they were not allowed to rule—if the divinity of slavery was not recognized and the right to its extension admitted. To such treason and such traitors must every proclamation be an apology for the defence of the nation's existence—a guaranty to rebels that their rights shall be properly protected, their property guarded, and, if their horses and negroes escape, that we will diligently arrest and safely return to their masters?

If you cannot prevail upon Congress to confiscate *all* the property of the enemy, at least persuade the President not to allow generals to be issuing proclamations which humiliate the nation while they do not conciliate treason.

The cause which has produced this rebellion will, in time, mother. Let us deal with it in such a way that the history of the world will record this the first and last rebellion to perpetuate iniquity, not to redress wrong.

Yours, C. H. VAN WYCK.

FROM REV. J. P. THOMPSON, D. D.

32 W. 36th St., N. Y., March 5, 1862.

DEAR SIR: In response to the invitation of the Committee of Arrangements, it will give me much pleasure to be present at the Cooper Institute to-morrow evening, though I may be detained elsewhere until nine o'clock. I have a deep interest in the object of the proposed meeting, as stated in the call. As far back as last July, in a discourse published in "The Independent," and since published in the "Rebellion Record," I took the ground that, inasmuch as the act of secession had in every instance been performed *State-wise*, the seceded States had forfeited all rights and privileges under the national Union, had annihilated their own organic existence, had made void their laws and institutions, and should be dealt with by Congress as *lapsed territories*—to be received again as States only on condition that slavery should be finally abolished from their soil. The progress of events, and the apparent futility of any other mode of dealing with the rebellion, have strengthened the conviction then expressed, that the revolted States should be suffered to resume an *organic* existence as integral parts of the Union, with the usual rights and privileges of *States*, only when their inhabitants should purge themselves of treason, by putting away *slavery*—the sole motive to treason—and that otherwise, they should be held *under* the Union as *lapsed territories*.

We want no "reconstruction" of the Union. The United States as a nation and a government has not been destroyed; and we must prove it indestructible. We want no revision of the Constitution—the best instrument of freedom ever devised. We must stand by the Union *as it is*; we must stand by the Constitution *as it is*. Slavery, the foe of the Union and the Constitution, must be dealt with *in* the Union, *by* the Constitution. The Union must assert its sovereignty over its own territory, left without local government by the act of secession, and must carry out the Constitution, by *guaranteeing republican institutions* to the people of that territory, when ready to be organized anew into States.

Truly yours, Jos. P. THOMPSON.

FROM GENERAL DOUBLEDAY.

WASHINGTON, D. C., March 5, 1862.

DEAR SIR: It would give me great pleasure to attend the emancipation meeting of the 6th

instant, but official engagements will render it impossible.

I believe slavery has caused the present war, and that it will be impossible to live in harmony hereafter without the cause is effectually removed.

Yours, very truly,

A. DOUBLEDAY,
Brig.-Gen. U. S. Vol.

To J. McKAYE, Esq.,
Chairman of Committee of Arrangements,
82 Broadway, New York.

Mr. James McKaye, Chairman of the Committee of Arrangements, then read the following resolutions, which were unanimously adopted:

Resolved, That inasmuch as our nationality and democratic institutions are founded upon the idea that "all men are created equal, endowed by their Creator with the inalienable rights of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness," whatever tends to weaken and destroy the vital force of this idea in the popular heart constitutes the most dangerous and fatal enmity to the real unity, true peace, and glory of the nation.

Resolved, That national unity does by no means consist alone in the conservation of territorial domain, but in identity of idea and affection. In the heart of no people can a genuine love of liberty and the rights of human nature coexist with a toleration of slavery. Slavery is treason to the fundamental idea of our national existence, and the war but its necessary and legitimate effect. In the present imminent crisis he who seeks to maintain slavery becomes thereby the abettor of the great treason.

Resolved, That in the present extreme exigency brought upon the country by slavery, we hold the right of the National Government to destroy the sole cause of all our disasters not only to be clearly within the Constitution, but to be imperatively demanded by it:

First, upon the ground that its existence is wholly incompatible with national self-preservation. Either the nation must die or slavery must.

Second, because the rights and powers conferred by the laws of war upon all sovereignties, and under our system of delegated power primarily upon the President and Congress, constitutionally require its destruction as the only effectual means of ending the conflict and re-establishing permanent national peace and prosperity.

And lastly and preëminently, because the supreme jurisdiction of the National Constitution over all the territories now occupied by the rebel States must be held to be exclusive of the traitorous rebel authorities therein established, by virtue of which alone slavery now therein exists, and that wherever the Constitution has exclusive jurisdiction it ordains liberty and not slavery. This is the very ground upon which the people placed the present Administration

in power, and in derogation of which the rebels wage their war.

Resolved, That while slavery remained upon its own ground, as understood by the founders of the National Constitution, good citizens might deem themselves bound by a just respect for that instrument to refrain from dealing with it as in its own nature it deserved. But since its masters have begun a war for its triumph, and the subjugation of our National Government and free institutions, we deem it our supremest duty to make peace with it or cease our conflict against it until it shall be extirpated from the whole land.

Resolved, That we entertain no jot of hatred or hostility toward the great body of the people of the rebel States; and, therefore, while we stand ever ready to welcome them to a loyal reunion under our glorious National Constitution, in the words of the Farewell Address of the Father of his Country, we desire "that the happiness of the people of these States may be made complete under the ASCRICES OF LIBERTY," and not utterly and forever rendered impossible by the reinstitution of slavery.

We repudiate, therefore, and utterly repel the idea that the property and blood of the loyal people of the Free States are to be wasted without result, in the suppression of the military power of the rebels, in order that the Capitol may, in the end, be surrendered into the hands of the conquered traitors, and the National Government be again put under the heel of the slave barons.

Resolved, Therefore, that amid the varied events which are occurring during the momentous struggle in which we are engaged, it is the duty and the interest of the Government and the people to adopt and to advocate such measures as will insure universal emancipation, and thus complete the work which the revolution began.

REV. M. D. CONWAY, a native of Virginia, made a humorous and effective speech, ably discussing the moral and legal bearings of the respective claims of Slavery and Freedom in this great crisis. His allusions to the present race of Virginian statesmen (?), and to the economical aspects of the slavery-blight on the "Old Dominion," were vigorous and eloquent. Nothing could have been more strikingly apposite than the story which the speaker related, amidst the laughter and applause of the immense audience, illustrating the present position of the government and the people on the momentous problem now to be solved.

The President then introduced Hon. Carl Schurz, late Minister to Spain, who made the following address:

SPEECH OF CARL SCHURZ

AT COOPER INSTITUTE, N. Y., MARCH 6, 1862.

I HAVE not come here to plead the cause of a party, for in looking around me, I become doubtful whether I belong to any; nor with a desire to gain the favor of those in power, for in this respect I have nothing to gain and much to lose; nor to flatter the multitude, for I know well that much of what I am going to say will expose me to acrimonious obloquy and vituperation; nor do I even think that the remarks I am going to make will exactly fit the line of argument followed in the resolutions presented to your consideration. I mean to speak the truth as I understand it; I shall give you my own ideas, such as they are. I have travelled far to obtain this audience of the people, for your invitation encountered my desire; and shunned no inconvenience, sacrifice, or responsibility. So you may conclude that I am in earnest. Of you I ask to lay aside to-night your party prejudices and passions; for this hour let your preconceived opinions be silent. I shall speak to you from the very depth of my profoundest convictions; listen to me as one sincere patriot will listen to another. [*Cheering.*]

Many of us will have to confess that the present state of things is contrary to their first anticipations. Eighteen months ago we did not expect that the people of the South would be so ready to rush into the suicidal course of open rebellion; nor did the people of the South, when they took the fatal step, expect that the people of the North would resist the treasonable attempt with so much determination and unanimity. In this respect the calculations of leading men on both sides proved erroneous. But this lies behind us, and we have to deal with the nature and exigencies of the actual situation as it is. We are in open civil war. A numerous population, holding a very large portion of our country, is in arms against the Government; the rebellion against the constitutionally established authorities is organized on the largest scale. The avowed aim and object is to disrupt the union of these States, and to secure for the people of some of them a separate national existence. The first steps taken in that direction were successful; a separate Government, claiming to be independent of the Union, was established; it now defends itself with armed force against the lawful authorities of this Republic.

This is, in a few words, the actual situation of things. It presents us a twofold problem: first, to put down the rebels in arms, and then to restore the Union. The first is a military problem, the second a political one. They are, in my opinion, so distinct from each other that I can well conceive how the first can be successfully solved, and how, at the same time, in attempting to solve the second, we can completely fail. As to the first, I will say but little. After serious disasters and a long period spent

in preparation, our brave armies have achieved great successes, which by some are considered finally decisive. I have heard it said that the war is practically ended. I must confess, I am not of that opinion; but although I might endeavor to show you that the rebels, however severely pressed at the present moment, have an immense country to fall back upon, in which their armies, if they succeed in escaping from the Border States, may prolong the struggle for a considerable period; that difficulties of which at present we form no adequate idea await our victorious columns as they advance upon the soil of the enemy; that this prolongation of the war may bring great embarrassments upon us, financial distress, and, in case of a serious reverse to our arms, even difficulties with foreign Powers, and that, in such an emergency, all the energy and patriotism which live in this American people will be put to the severest test—although I might show you all this, and warn you not to abandon yourselves too secretly to deceitful illusions, yet I will drop this subject.

It would, perhaps, be useless in this hour of triumph to speak of apprehensions which, indeed, may and may not be justified by coming events. I am willing to suppose for the present, that fortune will smile upon us as constantly as many seem to anticipate, and that a speedy and complete military success will be gained, even if we confine ourselves strictly to the ordinary means of warfare. But the nearer we approach this end, the greater are the proportions to which rises before my mind the other problem which this very victory thrusts upon us. To a despotic government, the suppression of a rebellion and the reestablishment of the old order of things are one and the same. It sends its armies into the field, it beats the insurgents, disperses them, captures them, forces them to lay down their arms: now the military power of the rebellion is crushed, and the second part of the task begins, which consists in maintaining the authority so established. The despotic government prevents and suppresses the utterance of every adverse opinion; it executes or imprisons every refractory individual; it encounters by summary proceedings every hostile intention, and while establishing by a system of constant and energetic pressure a state of general and complete submission, it restores at the same time the condition of things originally existing before the rebellion broke out. It can do all this without changing its attributes in the least, for the means it uses for suppressing the rebellion, and afterward for crushing out the rebellious spirit, are in perfect consonance with the fundamental principles upon which its whole system of policy rests. It is the rule of absolute authority and force on one side, and absolute submission to this rule on the other. The same agencies which put down the rebellion, the same operate in maintaining the re-established authority, and all this in perfect keeping with the original nature of the whole political system.

But our case is widely different. Our system of government does not rest upon the submission of the people, but upon the free and independent coöperation of the individual. We have indeed a supreme authority, but this authority proceeds directly from the people, and works through the people. Our Government may indeed suppress a rebellion by force; but, in order to restore the working of the original agencies upon which it rests, it is obliged to restore the individual to his original scope of self-action. If it attempted, after having suppressed a rebellion, to maintain its authority permanently by the same means by which it reëstablished it; that is to say, by a constant and energetic pressure of force, it would not restore the old order of things, but completely subvert its original basis; for the means by which it was obliged to suppress the rebellion are in direct contradiction to the fundamental principles of our Government. In order to restore these principles to life, the Government is obliged to trust its authority to the loyal action of the people. There is the embarrassment which a rebellion in a democratic republic will necessarily produce. What does it mean, the restoration of the Union? It means the restoration of individual liberty in all its parts, and of that ramification of political power in which self-government consists. If it meant anything else, if it meant the permanent holding in subjection of conquered provinces, if it meant the rule of force, if it meant the subversion of those principles of individual liberty which are the breath of our political life, would it then not be best to let the rebels go? Would it not be preferable to be content with the modest proportions to which the development of things has reduced us, to foster the principles and institutions which have made this people great and happy for so long a time with conscientious care, and to trust to the expansive power of liberty to restore this Republic in some more or less remote future to its former measure of greatness?

And yet, looking at things as they are, how can we expect to restore the Union but by the rule of force—that is to say, by a military occupation of the rebel States? But you will tell me that this will not last long. Well, and what will determine this period? The disappearance of the rebellious spirit; the return of sincere loyalty. But when and how will the rebellious spirit cease and loyalty return? True, if this rebellion were nothing but a mere momentary whim of the popular mind, if its causes could be obliterated by one of those sudden changes in popular opinion, which, in matters of minor importance, occur so frequently with our impressionable people, then a short military occupation might answer, and pass over without any serious effect upon our future development. But is it this? Look the fact square in the face. This rebellion is not a mere momentary whim, and although but a few men seem to have prepared its outbreak, it is not the mere

upshot of a limited conspiracy. It is a thing of long preparation; nay, more than that: it is a thing of logical development. This rebellion did not commence on the day that the secession flag was hoisted at Charleston; it commenced on the day when the slave power for the first time threatened to break up this Union. [*Applause.*]

Slavery had produced an organization of society strongly in contradistinction with the principles underlying our system of government—the absolute rule of a superior class, based upon the absolute subjection of the laboring population. This institution, continually struggling against the vital ideas of our political life, and incompatible with a free expression of public opinion, found itself placed in the alternative of absolutely ruling or perishing. Hence our long struggles, so often allayed by temporary expedients, but always renewed with increased acrimony. And as soon as the slave interest perceived that it could no longer rule inside of the Union, it attempted to cut loose and to exercise its undisputed sway outside of it. This was logical; and as long as the relation of interests and necessities remains the same, its logical consequences will remain the same also. This is not a matter of doctrine or party creed, but of history. Nobody can shut his eyes against so plain and palpable a fact. How is it possible to mistake the origin of this struggle? I ask you, in all sincerity, Would the rebellion have broken out, if slavery had not existed? [*“No, no, no.”*] Did the rebellion raise its head at any place where slavery did not exist? Did it not find sympathy and support wherever slavery did exist? [*“Yes, yes, yes.”*] Is anybody in arms against the Union but who desires to perpetuate slavery? What else is this rebellion but a new but logical form of the old struggle of the slave interest against the fundamental principles of our political system? Do not indulge in the delusion that you can put an end to this struggle by a mere victory in the field. By it you may quench the physical power of the slave interest, but you cannot stifle its aspirations. The slave interest was disloyal as long as it threatened the dissolution of the Union; it will be disloyal as long as it will desire it. [*Cheers.*]

And when will it cease to desire it? It may for a time sullenly submit to the power of the Union, but it will not enter into harmonious coöperation with you, as long as it has aspirations of its own. But to give up its aspirations would be to give up its existence; it will therefore not cease to aspire until it ceases to live. [*Applause.*] Your President has said it once, and there is far-seeing wisdom in the expression: This country will have no rest until slavery is put upon the course of ultimate extinction. [*Great and continued applause.*] But if the slave interest, as such, cannot return with cordial sincerity to its allegiance, where will the suppression of this rebellion lead us? Mark my words: Not only is the South in a state of

rebellion, but the whole Union is in a state of revolution. This revolution will produce one of three things: either complete submission of the whole people to the despotic demands of the slave interest, or a radical change in our Federal institutions, that is to say, the establishment of a strong, consolidated, central government, or such a reform of Southern society as will make loyalty to the Union its natural temper and disposition. [*Cheers.*] The old Union, as we have known it, is already gone; you cannot restore it; geographically—yes; but politically and morally, never. [*Applause.*] And if Jefferson Davis would come to-morrow and give up his sword to President Lincoln, and all the rebel armies were captured in one day, and forced to do penance in sackcloth and ashes at the foot of Capitol Hill, the old Union would not be restored. [*Cheers.*] That circle of ideas in which the political transactions of the old Union moved is forever broken. [*Sensation.*] It cannot be restored. The mutual confidence on which the political transactions of the old Union rested has been discovered to be illusory; it is irretrievably gone. [*Applause.*]

I repeat, either you will submit to the South, or you will rule the South by the force of a strong, central government, or Southern society must be so reformed that the Union can safely trust itself to its loyalty. Submit to the rebellious South! Submit after a victory! [*"No, no, no."*] You will tell me that this is impossible. Is it, indeed? There are those in the South who have fought and will fight the Union as long as the rebellion has a chance of success, who will apparently come over to our side as soon as our victory is decided, and who will then claim the right to control our policy. [*"That's it."*] And there are those in the North, who, either actuated by party spirit, or misled by shortsightedness, stand ready to cooperate with the former. [*Sensation.*] The attempt will be made—whether it will succeed—who knows? But if it does succeed, it will lead to new struggles [*"John Brown"*] more acrimonious, dangerous, and destructive in their nature, but also more radical and permanent in their result. [*Cheers, "That's it."*]

The second possibility I indicated is the establishment of a strong, consolidated, central government. Look at the course you have taken since the outbreak of the rebellion. It was natural that, when the necessity of vigorous action pressed upon us, the Government was clothed with extraordinary powers. As its duties and responsibilities increased, its hands had to be strengthened. But it might indeed have been expected that the people as well as Government would treat with scrupulous respect those fundamental guarantees of our rights and liberties, the achievement or the preservation of which was so often in the history of the world bought at the price of bloody revolutions. Outside of this Republic, and, I have no doubt, inside of it also, it was remarked with some surprise, that the writ of habeas corpus,

the liberty of the press, the authority of the civil courts of justice, were in some cases rather cavalierly dealt with. How easily it is forgotten that you cannot permit another's rights to be infringed without paving the way for a violation of your own! I do not mean to exaggerate the importance of these occurrences. I can well understand the violence of popular resentment, as well as the urgent necessities pressing upon those who stood at the helm. But I most earnestly warn you that a condition of things producing such necessities must not last too long, lest it create bad habits [*applause*—the habit of disregarding these fundamental rights on one side, and the habit of permitting them to be violated on the other. In my opinion, the manner of treating its enemies is the true test of the tendency of a government. It may be questionable whether we can afford to suppress a rebellion in the same way and with the same means in and with which the King of Naples was in the habit of suppressing it; but it is certain that we can not afford to imitate him in his manner of *maintaining* the reestablished authority of the Government. [*Cheers.*]

But now look at the task before you. I am willing to suppose that the rebel armies will be beaten and dispersed with greater ease and facility than I at present deem it possible. Then the *spirit* of disloyalty must be extinguished, the source of the mischief must be stopped. This cannot be done by strategic movements and success in battle. How then is it to be done? Take the State of South Carolina: you beat the rebels defending its soil, and occupy the whole State with your troops. Armed resistance to the authority of the United States becomes impossible, but you want to restore the active cooperation of the people of South Carolina in the Government of the United States, without which the restoration of the old order of things is impossible. Now, you either call upon the people of South Carolina to elect new State authorities of their own, or you impose upon them a Provisional Government, appointed by the President at Washington. In the first case, the people of South Carolina—a large majority of whom are disloyal, and those who are not disloyal, are not loyal either, [*applause,*] and to a certain extent, seem to be incorrigible—are most likely to elect a new set of secessionists to office. It will be a re-organization of treason and conspiracy; for you must know that conspiracies do not only precede rebellions, but also follow unsuccessful ones. The new State Government is at once in conflict with the Federal authorities. The latter find themselves counteracted and clogged in every imaginable way; and after a series of unsuccessful attempts to secure a cordial and trustworthy cooperation, after a season of tiresome and fruitless wrangles, they find themselves obliged to resort to sterner measures; then forcible suppression of every combination hostile to the Union; close surveillance of press and speech; martial law where the civil tribunals are found

insufficient; in one word, a steady and energetic pressure of force, by which the Federal Government overrules and coerces the refractory State authorities. You will see at once, that if this pressure be not strong enough, it will not furnish the Government of the United States the necessary guarantees of peace and security; and if it be strong enough to do that, it will not leave to the State Government that freedom of action upon which our whole political fabric is based. Or you follow the other course I indicated—institute provisional governments by appointment from the President, in a manner similar to that in which territories are organized. Then the General Government enters into immediate relation with the people of the rebellions district. While it leaves to the people the election of the Territorial Legislature, if I may call it so, it controls the action of that Legislature by the veto of the Executive, and the rulings of the Judiciary in a regular and organic way. Thus mischief may be prevented, the execution of the laws secured, and the supremacy of the General Government maintained by the Government's own agents, until the States can be reorganized with safety to the Union. This plan may be preferable to the other, inasmuch as it will prevent the continuation of rebellious intrigues, and facilitate the repression and punishment of disloyal practices without a conflict with lawfully instituted authorities; but it is evident that such a condition of things cannot last long without essentially changing the nature of our general system of government. In either case, it will be the rule of force, modified by circumstances, ready to respect individual rights wherever submission is complete, and to overrule them wherever necessity may require it.

Do not say that these things are less dangerous because they are done with the assent of the majority; for the assent of the people to a consolidation of power, is the first step toward subversion of liberty. [*Applause.*] But is indeed this Government, in struggling against rebellion, in reestablishing its authority, reduced to a policy which would nearly obliterate the line separating Democracy from Absolutism? Is it really unable to stand this test of its character? For this is the true test of the experiment. If our democratic institutions pass this crisis unimpaired, they will be stronger than ever; if not, the decline will be rapid and irremediable. But can they pass it unimpaired? Yes. This Republic has her destiny in her hands. She may transform her greatest danger and distress into the greatest triumph of her principles. [*Cheering.*] There would have been no rebellion, had there not been a despotic interest incompatible with the spirit of her democratic institutions, [*cheers.*] and she has the glorious and inestimable privilege of suppressing this rebellion, by enlarging liberty instead of restraining it, [*great cheering.*] by granting rights, instead of violating them. [*"Good."* Applause.]

I shall have to speak of Slavery, and I wish you would clearly understand me. I am an Anti-Slavery man. [*Cheering.*] All the moral impulses of my heart have made me so, and all the working of my brain has confirmed me in my faith. [*Loud applause. "Hear, hear."*] I have never hesitated to plead the cause of the outraged dignity of human nature. I could not do otherwise; and whatever point of argument I might gain with any one, if I denied it, I would not deny it, I shall never deny it. [*"Good, good."* Applause.] And yet, it is not my life-long creed, which would make me urge the destruction of Slavery now. As an Anti-Slavery man, I would be satisfied with the effect the course of events is already producing upon Slavery. When formerly I argued in favor of its restriction, I knew well and clearly, that as soon as the supremacy of the slave interest in our political life was destroyed, the very life of Slavery was gone, and the institution would gradually disappear. For many reasons, I would have preferred this gradual and peaceful process. I never was in favor of precipitate measures, where a quiet and steady reform was within the limits of practicability. [*Cheers.*] But the rebellion, which placed Slavery in a direct practical antagonism with the institutions most dear to us, has prodigiously hastened this development. I said already, that I do not deem another victory of Slavery over the National conscience impossible; but this reaction will produce new struggles, with passions more fierce, with resentments more acrimonious and reckless, and dangerous to our democratic institutions, and violent in their nature; but as to Slavery, radical and conclusive in their results. [*Applause.*] This rebellion has uprooted the very foundations of the system, and Slavery is not far from its death. [*Cheers.*] It will die, and if you would, you could not prevent it. [*Applause.*] And thus, as an Anti-Slavery man, I might wait and look on with equanimity.

But what I do not want to see is, that Slavery, in this death struggle, should involve the best institutions that ever made a nation great and happy. It shall not entangle the Union in its downfall, and, therefore, the Union must deliver itself of its pernicious embrace. [*Great applause, long continued, and huzzas.*] And now listen to what I have to say of the third possible result of the revolution through which we are passing, the only result which will restore the Union, and save the spirit of its democratic institutions. The ambition, the aspirations of men, grow from the circumstances in which they live. As these circumstances change, these aspirations will take a corresponding direction. A slaveholding population, wedded to the peculiar interests of their peculiar institutions, will, in their aspirations and political action, be governed by the demands of those interests. If those interests are incompatible with loyalty to a certain established form of government, that population will be disloyal in its aspirations. Their way of thinking, their logic, their imagi-

nation, their habits, are so affected and controlled by their circumstances, that as long as the latter remain the same, the former are not likely to change. Imagine this slaveholding population with a Union army on their soil. Their forces may be dispersed, their power paralyzed, but their former aspirations, although checked, are not eradicated. They move still in the same circle of ideas, and not only their memories of the past, but also their desires for the future, are still centred in that circle which Slavery has drawn around them. Is not the intention and desire, mother to the act? You may tell me that, however ardently they may long for a dissolution, their experience of the present rebellion will not let the idea of attempting another rebellion, spring up. Are you so sure of this? True, they will not repeat the same thing in the same way. But have you never thought of it, that this Republic may be one day involved in difficulties with foreign powers, and that, in her greatest need, the disloyalists may discover another opportunity? And have you considered what our foreign policy will be, when the powers of the earth know that we harbor an enemy within our own limits ready to join hands with them? [*Sensation.*] How can you rely upon the Southern people unless they are sincerely loyal, and how can they be sincerely loyal as long as their circumstances are such as to make disloyalty the natural condition of their desires and aspirations? They cannot be faithful unless their desires and aspirations change. And how can you change them? By opening before them new prospects and a new future. [*Cheering.*]

Look at the other side of the picture. Imagine—and I suppose it is not treasonable to imagine such a thing—imagine Slavery were destroyed in consequence of this rebellion. Slavery, once destroyed, can never be restored. [*Applause.*] A reaction in this respect is absolutely impossible, so evidently impossible that it will not even be attempted. Slavery is like an egg—once broken, it can never be repaired. [*Cheering.*] Even the wildest fanatic will see this. However ardent a devotee of Slavery a man may be, Slavery once destroyed, he will see that it is useless to brood over a past which is definitively gone, and cannot be revived. He will find himself forced to direct his eyes toward the future. All his former hopes and aspirations vanish; his former desires are left without a tangible object. Slavery having no future, his former aspirations and desires, founded upon Slavery, have none. He feels the necessity of accommodating himself to the new order of things, and the necessities of the present will make him think of the necessities of the future. Insensibly his mind drifts into plans and projects for coming days, and insensibly he has based these plans and projects upon the new order of things. A new circle of ideas has opened itself to him, and however reluctantly he may have given up the old one, he is already active in this new sphere. And this

new circle of ideas being one which moves in the atmosphere of free-labor society, new interests, new hopes, new aspirations spring up, which closely attach themselves to the political institutions, with which in this country free-labor society is identified. That is the Union, based upon general self-government. Gradually the reformed man will understand and appreciate the advantage of this new order of things, and loyalty will become as natural to him, as disloyalty was before.

It may be said, that the arch-traitors, the political propagandists of Slavery, can never be made loyal; that their rancor and resentment will be implacable, and that only the second generation will be capable of a complete reformation. But such men will no longer be the rulers of Southern society; for Southern society being, with all its habits and interests, no longer identified with Slavery, that element of the population will rise to prominent influence, which most easily identifies itself with free labor; I mean the non-slaveholding people of the South. [*Cheers.*] They have been held in a sort of moral subjection by the great slave-lords. Not for themselves but for them they were disloyal. The destruction of Slavery will wipe out the prestige of their former rulers; it will lift the yoke from their necks; they will soon undertake to think for themselves, and thinking freely they will not fail to understand their own true interests. They will find in free-labor society their natural elements; and free-labor society is naturally loyal to the Union. [*Applause.*] Let the old political leaders fret as they please; it is the free-labor majority that will give to society its character and tone. [*Cheering.*] This is what I meant by so reforming Southern society as to make loyalty to the Union its natural temper and disposition. This done, the necessity of a military occupation, the rule of force, will cease; our political life will soon return to the beaten track of self-government, and the restored Union may safely trust itself to the good faith of a reformed people. The antagonistic element which continually struggled against the vital principles of our system of government once removed, we shall be a truly united people with common principles, common interests, common hopes, and a common future. True, there will be other points of controversy about banks or hard money, internal improvements, free-trade or protection; but however fierce party contests may be, there will be no question involving the very foundation of our polity, and no party will refuse to submit to the verdict of popular suffrage on the controversies at issue. [*Cheers.*] The Union will not only be strong again, but stronger than ever before. [*Great cheering.*]

And if you ask me what, under existing circumstances, I would propose to do, I would say: Let Slavery in the District of Columbia, and wherever the Government has immediate authority, be abolished. [*Low and long-continued applause.*] Let the slaves of rebels be

confiscated by the General Government, and then emancipated, [*tremendous applause*,] and let a fair compensation be offered to loyal slave States and masters, who will agree upon some system of emancipation. [*Cheering*.] Let this, or some other measure to the same effect, be carried out in some manner compatible with our fundamental laws, I do not care which, *provided always* the measure be thoroughgoing enough to render a reaction, a re-establishment of the slave power impossible, [*cheering*;] for as long as this is possible, as long as the hopes and aspirations of the Southern people can cling to such a chance, you will not have succeeded in cutting them loose from the old vicious circle of ideas, their loyalty will be subject to the change of circumstances, and such loyalty is worth nothing. [*Cheers*.]

I am at once met by a vast array of objections. "It is unconstitutional!" say some scrupulous patriots. Is it not a little surprising, that the Constitution should be quoted most frequently and persistently in favor of those who threw that very Constitution overboard? [*Cheers*.] Unconstitutional! Let us examine the consistency of those who on this point are so sensitive. Have you not, in the course of this rebellion, suspended in many cases the writ of habeas corpus? Have you not suppressed newspapers, and thus violated the liberty of the press? Have you not deprived citizens of their liberty without due process of law? Have you not here and there superseded the regular courts of justice by military authority? And was all this done in strict conformity with the sacred safeguards which the Constitution throws around the rights and liberties of the citizen? But you tell me that all this was commanded by urgent necessity. Indeed! Is the necessity of restoring the true life element of the Union less urgent than the necessity of imprisoning a traitor or stopping a secession newspaper? [*Applause*.] Will necessity which justifies a violation of the dearest guaranties of our own rights and liberties, will it not justify the overthrow of the most odious institution of this age? [*Cheers*.] What? Is the Constitution such as to countenance in an extreme case a most dangerous imitation of the practices of despotic Governments, but not to countenance, even in the extreme case, the necessity of a great reform, which the enlightened spirit of our century has demanded so long, and not ceased to demand? [*Cheers*.] Is it, indeed, your opinion that in difficult circumstances like ours neither the writ of habeas corpus, nor the liberty of the press, nor the authority of the regular courts of justice, in one word, no right shall be held sacred and inviolable under the Constitution but that most monstrous and abominable right which permits one man to hold another as property? [*Great cheering*.] Is to your constitutional conscience our whole magna charta of liberties nothing, and Slavery all? [*Loud applause*.] Slavery all, even while endeavoring

by the most damnable rebellion to subvert this very Constitution?

But do not misunderstand me. I am far from underestimating the importance of constitutional forms. Where constitutional forms are not strictly observed, constitutional guarantees will soon become valueless. But, where is the danger in this case? Nobody denies the constitutionality of the power of the Government to abolish Slavery in the District of Columbia; nobody will deny the constitutionality of an offer of compensation to loyal slave-owners. Or would the confiscation of rebel property be unconstitutional? The Constitution defines clearly what treason consists in; and then it gives Congress the power to pass laws for the punishment of treason. In this respect the Constitution gives Congress full discretion. If Congress can decree the penalty of death, or imprisonment, or banishment, why not the confiscation of property? And if Congress can make lands, and houses, and horses, and wagons liable to confiscation, why not slaves? And when these slaves are confiscated by the Government, cannot Congress declare them emancipated, or rather will they not be emancipated by that very act? Is there anything in the Constitution to hinder it? Can there be any doubt, can there be a shadow of a doubt, as to the authority of Congress to do this? And if Congress can do it, why should it not?

Do you prefer the death penalty? Will you present to the world the spectacle of a great nation thirsting for the blood of a number of miserable individuals? Do not say that you want to make an example; for if you stop the source of treason, no warning example to frighten traitors will be needed. [*Loud cheers*.] Or do you prefer imprisonment? The imprisonment of the leaders may very well go along with confiscation, and as to the imprisonment of the masses, nobody will think of it. Or do you prefer banishment? [*Yes*.] How would it please you to see Europe overrun with "exiles from America," blackening your character and defiling your Government at every street-corner, and incessantly engaged in plotting against their country? And what effect will these modes of punishment have upon the Southern people? Either you are severe in applying them, and then you will excite violent resentments, or you are not severe, and then your penalties will frighten nobody, and fail of the object of serving as a warning example. In neither case will you make friends. It has frequently been said that the punishment of crime ought not to be a mere revenge taken by society, but that its principal object ought to be the reformation and improvement of the criminal. [*Cheers*.] This is a humane idea, worthy of this enlightened century. It ought to be carried out wherever practicable. But how much greater and more commendable would it be if applied to a people instead of an individual! As for me, it will be to me supremely indiffer-

ent whether any of the rebels meets a punishment adequate to his crime, provided the great source of disloyalty be punished in itself. [*Cheers.*] The best revenge for the past is that which furnishes us the best assurance for the future. [*Applause.*]

And how can we lose this great opportunity, how can we throw away this glorious privilege we enjoy, of putting down a rebellion by enlarging liberty, and of punishing treason by reforming society? [*Cheers.*] What hinders you? It is not the Constitution! Its voice is clear, unmistakable, and encouraging. This time the Constitution refuses to serve as a mark to morbid timidity or secret tenderness for Slavery. Or is there really any thing frightful to you in the idea, which we hear so frequently expressed, that every measure touching Slavery would irritate the rebels very much, and make them very angry. [*Laughter and cheering.*] Irritate them and make them angry! I should not wonder. Every cannon shot you fire at them, every gunboat that shells their fortifications, every bayonet charge that breaks their lines, makes them, I have no doubt, quite angry. [*Continued laughter.*] It may be justly supposed that every forward movement of our troops has upon them quite an irritating effect. [*Great laughter—"Fort Donelson."*] If you want to see them smile, you must let them alone entirely. But will you, therefore, load your muskets with sawdust, stop the advance of your battalions, and run your navy ashore? It must be confessed, they have never shown such tender regards for our institutions. But why will this measure make them so angry? Because it will, in the end, make them powerless for mischief. And if we can attain so desirable an end by doing this, will it not be best to support their anger with equanimity, and do it? [*Cheering.*] I never heard of a man who, when assaulted by a robber, would refrain from disarming him because it might create unpleasant feelings. [*Applause.*]

But, in fact, the irritation it will create will be rather short-lived. It will die out with slavery. I have endeavored to set forth that the reformation of Southern society resulting from these measures is the only thing that will make the Southern people our sincere friends. Why not risk a short irritation for a lasting friendship? [*Cheers.*] But while I am little inclined to pay much regard to the feelings of the rebels, who would delight in cutting our throats, I deem it our duty to treat with respect the opinion of the loyal men of the South, on whose fidelity the whirl of rebellion raging round them had no power. I have heard it said that any measure touching Slavery in any way would drive them over to our common enemy. Is this possible? Is their loyalty of so uncertain a complexion that they will remain true to the Union only as long as the Union does nothing which they do not fancy? What, then, would distinguish them from the traitors?—for the traitors too would have adhered to the

Union if they had been permitted to rule it. [*Cheers.*] It is impossible! Whatever they might feel inclined to do if their rights were attacked in an unconstitutional manner, to constitutional measures, constitutionally enacted and carried out, a true Union man will never offer resistance. [*Applause.*] As we listen with respect to their opinions, so they will listen respectfully to our advice. If we speak to them as friends, they will not turn away from us as enemies. I would say to them: "You, Union men of the South, have faithfully clung to the cause of our common country, although your education, the circumstance in which you lived, and the voice of your neighbors were well calculated to call you to the other side. You have resisted a temptation which to many proved fatal. For this we honor you. We labor and fight side by side to restore the Union to its ancient greatness, and to their purity the eternal principles upon which it can safely and permanently rest. What will you have—a Union continually tottering upon its foundation, or a Union of a truly united people, a Union of common principles, common interests, a common honor, and a common destiny? We do not work for ourselves alone, we are not responsible to ourselves alone, but also to posterity. What legacy will you leave to your children—new struggles, new dangers, new revolutions, or a future of peaceful progress? An unfinished, trembling edifice, that may some day tumble down over their heads, because its foundations were not firmly laid, or a house resting upon the firm rock of a truly free government, in which untold millions may quietly and harmoniously dwell? We do not mean to disregard the obligations we owe you, neither constitutional obligations nor those which spring from your claims to our gratitude. We do not mean that you shall suffer in rights or fortune, nor to tear you forcibly from your ways and habits of life. But let us reason together. Do you think that slavery will live always? Consider this question calmly, and without prejudice or passion. Do you think it will live always, in spite of the thousand agencies which, in this Nineteenth Century of ours, are busy working its destruction? It cannot be. Its end will come one day, and that day is brought nearer by the suicidal war which, in this rebellion, Slavery is waging against itself. And how do you wish that this end should be? A violent convulsion or the result of a quiet and peaceful reform? will you leave it to chance or would you not rather keep this certain development under the moderating control of your voluntary action? There is but one way of avoiding new struggles and a final revulsion, and that is by commencing a vigorous progressive reform in time. In time, I say—and when will the term have arrived? Either you control this development by wise measures seasonably adopted—or it will control you. How long will you wait? You speak of difficulties; I see them—they are great, very great. But

will they not be twenty times greater twenty years hence, unless you speedily commence to remove them? You ask me, what shall we do with our negroes, who are now four millions? And I ask you, what will you do with them when they will be eight millions—or rather, what will they do with you? [*Cheering.*] Is it wise to quail before difficulties to-day, when it is sure that they will be twice as great to-morrow, and equally sure that some day they *must*—absolutely *must*—be solved? You speak of your material interest. To-day, I am convinced, there is hardly a man in the free States of this Republic who would not cheerfully consent to compensate you amply for the sacrifices you might voluntarily bring. [*Applause.*] Do you think that after the fierce struggles which inevitably will come if Slavery remains a power in the land after this war, and which, with the certainty of fate, will bring on its destruction, an equally liberal spirit will prevail? Look at this fairly and without prejudice. Does not every consideration of safety and material interest command you to commence this reform without delay? Must it not be clear to the dumbest mind that this task which imperatively imposes itself upon you, will be the easier the sooner it is taken in hand, and the more difficult and fearful the longer it is put off?

But, pardon me, Union men of the South, if in speaking to you of a thing of such tremendous moment, I have appealed only to the meaner instincts of human nature. How great, how sublime a part might you play in this crisis, if you appreciated the importance of your position—if you would cast off the small ambition which governs so many of you! To maintain a point in controversy just because you have asserted it, to say: We can do this if we please, and nobody shall hinder us, and therefore we will do it; or, we have slavery and nobody has a right to interfere with it, and therefore we will maintain it, how small an ambition is this! How much greater, how infinitely nobler would it be, if you would boldly place yourself at the head of the movement and say to us: We grew up in the habits of slaveholding society, and our interests were long identified with the institution, and we think also that you cannot lawfully deprive us of it; but since we see that it is the great disturbing element in this Republic, we voluntarily sacrifice it to the peace of the nation, we immolate it as a patriotic offering on the altar of the country! [*Loud cheers.*] Where are the hearts large enough for so great and exalted an ambition? Ah, if some man of a powerful will and lofty devotion would rise up among you; if an Andrew Johnson would go among his people, and tell them [*great applause*] how noble it is to sacrifice for the good of the country [*cheers*] not only one's blood, but also one's prejudices and false pride, he would be greater than the generals who fight our battles, greater than the statesmen who direct our affairs, and coming generations would gratefully remember him as the true pacificator of

this country. [*Applause.*] He would stand above these that are first in war, he would be the true hero of peace, he would not be second in the hearts of his countrymen." Thus I would speak to the Union men of the South.

But whatever they may do, or not do, our duty remains the same. We cannot wait one for another; the development of things presses on, and the day of the final decision draws nearer every hour. Americans, I have spoken to you the plain, cold language of fact and reason. I have not endeavored to capture your hearts with passionate appeals, nor your senses with the melody of sonorous periods. I did not desire to rush you on to hasty conclusions; for what you resolve upon with coolness and moderation, you will carry out with firmness and courage. And yet it is difficult for a man of heart to preserve that coolness and moderation when looking at the position this proud nation is at present occupying before the world; when I hear in this great crisis the miserable cant of party; when I see small politicians busy to gain a point on their opponents; when I see great men in fluttering trepidation lest they spoil their "record" or lose their little capital of consistency. [*Cheering.*]

What! you, the descendants of those men of iron who preferred a life or death struggle with misery on the bleak and wintry coast of New England to submission to priestcraft and kingcraft; you, the offspring of those hardy pioneers who set their faces against all the dangers and difficulties that surround the early settler's life; you, who subdued the forces of wild nature, cleared away the primeval forest, covered the endless prairie with human habitations; you, this race of bold reformers who blended together the most incongruous elements of birth and creed, who built up a Government which you called a model Republic, and undertook to show mankind how to be free; you, the mighty nation of the West, that presumes to defy the world in arms, and to subject a hemisphere to its sovereign dictation; you, who boast of recoiling from no enterprise ever so great, and no problem ever so fearful—the spectral monster of Slavery stares you in the face, and now your blood runs cold, and all your courage fails you? For half a century it has disturbed the peace of this Republic; it has arrogated to itself your national domain; it has attempted to establish its absolute rule and to absorb even your future development; it has disgraced you in the eyes of mankind, and now it endeavors to ruin you if it cannot rule you; it raises its murderous hand against the institutions most dear to you; it attempts to draw the power of foreign nations upon your heads; it swallows up the treasures you have earned by long years of labor; it drinks the blood of your sons and the tears of your wives, and now?—Every day it is whispered in your ears, Whatever Slavery may have done to you, whatever you may suffer, touch it not! How many thousand millions of your wealth it may cost, however much blood you may have

and in order to disarm its murderous hand, sell it not! How many years of peace and prosperity you may have to sacrifice in order to prolong its existence, touch it not! And if you should cost you your honor—listen to this story:

On the Lower Potomac, as the papers tell us, a negro comes within our lines, and tells the valiant defenders of the Union that his master conspires with the rebels, and has a quantity of arms concealed in a swamp; our soldiers go and find the arms; the master reclaims his slave; the slave is given up; the master ties him to his horse, drags him along eleven miles to his house, lashes him to a tree, and, with the assistance of his overseer, whips him three hours, three mortal hours; then the negro dies. That black man served the Union, Slavery attempts to destroy the Union, the Union surrenders the black man to Slavery, and he is whipped to death—touch it not. [*"Hear, hear."* *Profound sensation.*] Let an imperishable blush of shame cover every cheek in this boasted land of freedom—but be careful not to touch it! Ah, what a dark divinity is this, that we must sacrifice to it our peace, our prosperity, our blood, our future, our honor! What an insatiable vampire is this that drinks out the very marrow of our manliness! [*"Shame."*] Pardon me; this sounds like a dark dream, like the offspring of a hypochondriacal imagination, and yet—have I been unjust in what I have said? [*"No."*]

Is it asking too much of you that you shall secure against future dangers all that is most dear to you, by vigorous measures? Or is it not true that such measures would not be opposed had they not the smell of principle about them? [*"That's it."* *Applause.*] Or do the measures proposed really offend your constitutional conscience? The most scrupulous interpreter of our fundamental laws will not succeed in discovering an objection. Or are they impolitic? What policy can be better than that which secures peace and liberty to the people? Or are they inhuman? I have heard it said that a measure touching Slavery might disturb the tranquillity and endanger the fortunes of many innocent people in the South. This is a possibility which I sincerely deplore. But many of us will remember, how often they were told it in former years, that true philanthropy begins at home. Disturb the tranquillity and endanger the fortunes of innocent people in the South!—and there your tenderness stops? Are the six hundred thousand loyal men of the North, who have offered their lives and all they have and they are for the Union, less innocent? Are those who have soaked the soil of Virginia, and Missouri, and Kentucky, and Tennessee with their blood—are they guilty? Are the tears of Northern widows and children for their dead husbands and fathers

less warm and precious than the tears of a planter's lady about the threatened loss of her human chattels? [*Sensation.*] If you have such tender feelings about the dangers and troubles of others, how great must be the estimation you place upon the losses and sufferings of our people! Streams of blood, and a stream of tears for every drop of blood; the happiness of so many thousand families forever blasted, the prosperity of the country ruined for so many years—how great must be the compensation for all this! Shall all this be squandered for nothing? for a mere temporary cessation of hostilities, a prospect of new troubles, a mere fiction of peace?

People of America! I implore you, for once, be true to yourselves, [*great applause,*] and do justice to the unmistakable instinct of your minds and the noble impulses of your hearts. Let it not be said that the great American Republic is afraid of the nineteenth century. [*Loud cheers.*] And you, legislators of the country, and those who stand at the helm of Government, you, I intreat, do not trifle with the blood of the people. This is no time for politely consulting our enemies' tastes, or for sparing our enemies' feelings. Be sure, whatever progressive measures you may resolve upon, however progressive it may be, the people are ready to sustain you with heart and hand. [*Loud and long-continued cheering and waving of hats.*] The people do not ask for any thing that might seem extravagant. They do not care for empty glory; they do not want revenge, but they do want a fruitful victory and a lasting peace. [*Great applause.*] When pondering over the tendency of this great crisis, two pictures of our future rise up before my mental vision. Here is one: The Republic, distracted by a series of revulsions and reactions, all tending toward the usurpation of power, and the gradual destruction of that beautiful system of self-government to which this country owes its progress and prosperity; the nation sitting on the ruins of her glory, looking back to our days with a sorrowful eye, and saying, "Then we ought to have acted like men, and all would be well now." Too late, too late! And here is the other: A Government, freed from the shackles of a despotic and usurping interest, resting safely upon the loyalty of a united people; a nation engaged in the peaceable discussion of its moral and material problems, and quietly working out its progressive development; its power growing in the same measure with its moral consistency; the esteem of mankind centering upon a purified people; a union firmly rooted in the sincere and undivided affections of all its citizens; a regenerated Republic, the natural guide and beacon light of all legitimate aspirations of humanity. These are the two pictures of our future. Choose! [*Immense applause.*]